



(11) **EP 0 995 393 A2**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(43) Date of publication:
26.04.2000 Bulletin 2000/17

(51) Int Cl.7: **A47L 13/16**, D06M 23/00,
D06M 15/263, D06M 15/333

(21) Application number: **99121138.4**

(22) Date of filing: **22.10.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(30) Priority: **23.10.1998 US 178396**

(71) Applicant: **Milliken & Company**
Spartanburg, County of Spartanburg,
South Carolina (US)

(72) Inventors:
• **Morin, Brian G.**
Greer, SC 29651 (US)
• **McBride, Daniel T.**
Chesnee, SC 29323 (US)
• **Chambers, Loren W.**
LaGrange, GA 30240 (US)

(74) Representative: **HOFFMANN - EITLE**
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte
Arabellastrasse 4
81925 München (DE)

(54) **Textile fabric with particle attracting finish**

(57) A textile fabric wiper is provided having a polymer coating applied to enhance the attraction of the wiper

to particulate contaminants, especially particles in the range of 0.5 to 20 microns.

EP 0 995 393 A2

Description

[0001] This invention relates to textile fabrics having a particle attracting finish applied thereto. The fabrics are referred to as wipers. The wipers find utility in cleaning surfaces, whenever it is desirable to minimize particulate contamination.

[0002] Wipers are utilized for a number of different cleaning applications, such as in cleanrooms, automotive painting rooms and other controlled environments. Each different application emphasizes certain standards that these types of wipers should attain. For example, wipers utilized in cleanrooms must meet stringent performance standards. These standards are related to sorbency and contamination, including maximum allowable particulate, unspecified extractable matter and individual ionic contaminants. The standards for particulate contaminant release are especially rigorous and various methods have been devised to meet them.

[0003] Wipers may be made from knitted, woven or non-woven textile fabrics. The fabric is cut into wipers, typically 9 inch by 9 inch squares. The wipers may remain unlaundered or may be washed in a cleanroom laundry, employing special surfactants and highly-filtered and purified water, to reduce the contamination present on the fabric. After washing, the wipers may be packaged dry in air-tight plastic bags, or pre-saturated with a suitable solvent before being packaged, and are ready for use.

[0004] Prior art developments in the field of wipers include reduction in particulate contaminants through the use of a specific yarn, such as "nylon bright" as disclosed in Paley et al., US 5,271,995, and reduction in loose fiber contamination by using a hot air jet to cut the fabric into pieces while simultaneously sealing the edges as disclosed in Reynolds US 5,069,735. Finishes to improve the sorbency of wipers made of hydrophilic fibers, such as polyester, have also been employed. Wiping cloths having a textile substrate and a porous polymer coating made from the 'sulphonation products of cross-linked polymers containing sulphonated aromatic residues' are disclosed in GB 2 142 225 A.

[0005] Tack cloths are textile fabrics of relatively loose weave which have been chemically treated to give them a sticky or tacky character. They are used to remove dust from surfaces prior to applying a coating and for dusting in the home. Hansen, US 3,208,093, discloses a tack cloth having a plasticizer/vinyl polymer composition applied thereto in the amount of 10 to 16 parts polymer to about 11 parts of substrate. Bennet, US 3,658,578 discloses a fabric substrate impregnated with an amorphous polypropylene compound characterized by a m.w. of less than 10,000, to achieve a tacky finish.

Summary of the Invention

[0006] The objects of the present invention are to provide: a textile wiper with a particle attracting finish; a textile wiper suitable for use in cleanrooms and other controlled environments where the wiper itself must be low in contaminants; a particle attracting wiper which will function in a wide range of applications - both dry and in conjunction with solvents; and a finish which is durable, yet exhibits little or no tack. In one embodiment, the wiper is laundered under conditions to leave a surfactant residue, which has been found to improve absorbency.

[0007] Accordingly, a textile fabric having a particle attracting, polymer finish is provided for use as a wiper. The wiper may be used dry or saturated with a desirable solvent. In one embodiment, the wiper is pre-saturated with a cleaning solvent and packaged in a resealable container.

[0008] The particle attracting potential of the present wiper has been characterized by a "particle attraction coefficient" measured by the following test:

[0009] A 9" x 9" wiper is pre-wetted with water and placed in a beaker containing 400ml of water and 40 mg (0.01% by weight) of carbon black having an average particle size of 3 microns, as measured by a Microtrac UPA-150 analyzer, and obtained from Cabot Corporation (USA), identified as carbon black M-1300 (Monarch® 1300, a product of Cabot Corporation, USA). The wiper is stirred in the beaker using a magnetic stir bar for 30 seconds and removed. Excess water in the wiper is squeezed out, draining the water back into the beaker. The water in the beaker is then filtered through a 1.0 micron pore size glass fiber filter. The amount of carbon black particulate which was left in the beaker is calculated, and the amount of carbon black particulate absorbed by the wiper can be determined. The test is repeated for the identical fabric, without the particle attracting finish having been applied. The particle attraction coefficient (%) is calculated using the following formula:

$$\frac{\text{wt. of particulate (fabric + finish)} - \text{wt. of particulate (fabric only)}}{\text{wt. of particulate (fabric only)}} \times 100$$

[0010] For example, the wiper having the finish of the present invention absorbed 33 mg of carbon black, while the fabric without the finish absorbed only 13 mg. The particle attraction coefficient is 154%.

[0011] The particle attracting polymer may be selected from compounds having pendant groups which (i) exhibit hydrogen bonding, such as hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups; (ii) have acid-base reactive groups, such as -COOH, -NH₂, -SO₃, and -NO₂. In one embodiment of the invention, the particle attracting polymer is water-soluble

and selected from:

- (i) polysaccharides having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups; and
- (ii) polymers formed by vinyl polymerization, having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxy-alkyl, carboxy, amino and alkylamino groups;

wherein the article has a particle attraction coefficient of 50% or greater for carbon black, and a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 75 million particles per square meter or less as measured by Bialaxial Shake Test IEST-RP-CP-CC004.2.

Detailed Description of the Invention

[0012] Without limiting the scope of the invention, the preferred embodiments and features are hereinafter set forth. Unless otherwise indicated, the following conditions apply: all parts and percentages are by weight; conditions are ambient, i.e. one atmosphere of pressure and 25° C; the term "average" means number-average; aliphatic hydrocarbons, including alkyl and alkylene radicals, comprise from 1 to 4 carbon atoms. Unless otherwise indicated, the particle attraction coefficient is measured using particles having an average size of approximately 3 microns.

[0013] All of the United States patents cited in the Specification are incorporated by reference.

[0014] The wipers of the present invention may be constructed from woven, knitted or non-woven fabric. Non-woven fabrics and their methods of manufacture are well known in the art. For example, non-woven fabrics may be wet laid, dry laid, spun bond, needle punched; with or without binders to stabilize them.

[0015] The fabric may be made from staple or continuous filament fibers, or yarns made from such fibers. Yarns having a wide variety of denier and filament count may be employed. By way of example, yarns having a denier to filament ratio of from 0.1 to 10, a denier of 15 to 250 with filament counts ranging from 10 to 250 may be employed. For certain cleaning applications, it is desirable to increase the abrasiveness of the fabric, and the fabric may incorporate filaments ranging in denier from 10 to 50.

[0016] The fiber may be selected from synthetic and natural fibers and blends thereof. For example, the fiber may be polyester, polyamide, polyolefin e.g. polyethylene, polypropylene and ethylene/propylene copolymer, acrylic, polyurethane, cellulosic, e.g. cotton, rayon and acetate, silk or wool, and blends thereof. Preferably, the fiber is polyester, polyamide or polyolefin. Most preferably, the wiper is woven or knitted from continuous filament, polyester yarn, for example, textured polyester yarn.

[0017] A wide range of fabric weights may be employed in the present invention. Typically, the fabrics used for cleanroom wipers have a weight of 1 to 16 ounces per square yard, preferably 2 to 9 ounces per square yard.

[0018] The fabric may be washed or scoured to remove spinning oils, dirt and other contamination prior to application of the particle attracting polymer. It is usually advantageous to heat set the fabric, either before or after application of the polymer, to provide dimensional stability. The fabric is preferably heat set at a temperature above what the yarns have previously experienced, after the initial spinning of the fiber. For example, polyester yarn may be heat set at a temperature of from 180° to 300° F. Preferably, the fabric lies flat when it is heat set.

[0019] Heat setting may advantageously be performed in a tenter frame oven, in which the fabric is held flat during heating and while it begins to cool. The temperature of the oven may be higher than the temperature actually experienced by the yarn, which will be a function of the oven or dryer temperature profile, length and speed of the fabric through the oven.

[0020] The particle attracting polymer finish or coating may be applied by any suitable method used to apply a coating in the form of a solution, dispersion, emulsion or a particulate to a fabric substrate. By way of example, the polymer may be applied by padding, wash wheel, spraying, nip roll, knife blade or in a jet dyeing apparatus. Preferably, the polymer is in the form of an aqueous solution.

[0021] The coat weight (solids) may be 0.01 wt.% or greater based on the weight of the fabric. Typically the coat weight is not greater than 6 wt.%. Preferably, the coat weight is from 0.02 to 3 wt.%, most preferably from 0.05 to 1 wt.%, based on the weight of the fabric. The particle attracting polymer is cured by a method appropriate to the polymer and the form in which it is applied (liquid or solid), and any residual liquor is evaporated. For example, if the polymer is applied in the form of a solution, dispersion or emulsion, the coated fabric may be dried and cured in a tenter oven.

[0022] The particle attracting polymer may be selected from polymers which exhibit an affinity for one or more of the following types of particulate matter: carbon black, aluminum, aluminum oxide, copper, copper oxide, ferrite, graphite, iron, iron oxide, manganese, manganese oxide, silicon, silicon dioxide, titanium, titanium dioxide, tungsten, tungsten dioxide, zinc and zinc oxide, preferably an affinity for one or more of the following types of particulate matter: carbon black, copper, copper oxide, silicon, silicon dioxide, tungsten and tungsten dioxide. The particle sizes of particular concern are those in the range of 0.5 to 20 microns.

[0023] The efficacy of a particular wiper in attracting and removing particulate contaminants, from a surface or liquor, is referred to herein as a "particle attraction coefficient." The test has been previously described. Briefly, the particle attraction coefficient is the ratio of the increased particle attracting characteristics of a polymer coated wiper, relative to an uncoated wiper, for a given particle type. Preferably, the coated wiper of the present invention has a particle attraction coefficient for 1-5 micron size particles of 50% or greater, most preferably, 100% or greater.

[0024] Examples of particle attracting polymers include the following:

- (i) cellulose ethers, e.g. hydroxyalkylcelluloses, such as hydroxyethylcellulose and hydroxypropylcellulose; hydroxyalkylalkylcelluloses, such as hydroxyethylcellulose, hydroxybutylmethylcellulose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and hydroxyethylmethylcellulose; alkylcelluloses, such as methylcellulose and ethylcellulose; carboxy-alkylcelluloses, such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose;
- (ii) inorganic cellulose esters, e.g. cellulose nitrate;
- (iii) chitosan; and
- (iv) guar gums and hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof, e.g. carboxymethylguar gum, carboxymethyl(hydroxypropyl)guar gum, hydroxyethylguar gum and hydroxypropylguar gum.
- (v) starch and hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof, e.g. hydroxyethylstarch and hydroxypropylstarch;
- (vi) poly(vinyl alcohol) and vinyl alcohol copolymers, e.g. ethylene/vinyl alcohol copolymers and vinyl alcohol/methacrylate or methylmethacrylate copolymers;
- (vii) poly(vinyl pyrrolidone);
- (viii) poly(hydroxyalkyl acrylate) and poly(hydroxyalkyl methacrylate), e.g. poly(hydroxypropyl methacrylate), poly(hydroxypropyl acrylate); and
- (ix) poly(alkyl acrylamide) and poly(alkyl acrylamide) copolymers, e.g. poly(isopropyl acrylamide) and isopropyl acrylamide/acrylic acid copolymer.

[0025] The class of suitable particle attracting polymers includes compounds having a broad range of molecular weights and solubilities in water. By way of example, polymers having an average molecular weight of from 1,000 to 2,000,000, preferably 25,000 to 1,000,000, most preferably 50,000 to 500,000 may be employed. Preferably, the polymer is water soluble, defined as a solubility of one part per 100 parts of water or greater, before the polymer is applied to the fabric and cured. Nevertheless, it is also desirable that the polymer, once applied to the fabric and cured, has sufficient durability to withstand laundering, such as in a cleanroom laundry. The particle attracting polymer may also be applied to the fabric with a binder, preferably in minor amounts, such as melamine formaldehyde resin binder in conjunction with chitosan.

[0026] It is believed that in most cases, the polymer forms a film on the fibers of the textile fabric. The objects of the invention may be achieved, however, whether the polymer forms a continuous film or is discontinuous.

[0027] In addition to the particle attracting polymer, other finishes may be applied to the textile fabric. For example, the textile fabric may be treated with a "soil release" finish to improve its wettability and washability, such as may be found in the following U.S. patents: Marco, US 4,131,550; Hauser, US 4,164,392; Marco, US 4,168,954; Marco, US 4,170,557; Marco, US 4,235,735; Kimbrell, US 4,329,389; Schuettle, US 5,725,951. Examples of other compounds and compositions which may be applied to the textile fabric or used in conjunction with the particle attracting polymer include: plasticizers, antistatic agents, defoamers, anti-microbial or anti-fungal agents, lubricants, knitting oils and abrasives.

[0028] After the coated fabric is cured, the fabric is cut into nominal sizes for use as a cleanroom wiper, which are typically squares ranging from 4 inches by 4 inches to 24 inches by 24 inches. Any geometry may be employed, however. The fabric is preferably, though not necessarily, cut using a technique which fuses the end of the yarn, thereby preventing unraveling and particle generation. Examples of suitable techniques may be found in Reynolds, US 5,069,735, and the references cited therein.

[0029] The wipers are typically packaged in a sealed container to keep them free from contamination.

[0030] For cleanroom applications, it is desirable to wash the fabric or wipers in a cleanroom laundry, which may be characterized as a laundry facility to remove and minimize contamination of the wipers, prior to packaging. The cleanroom laundry may employ special filters, surfactants, sequestrants, purified water, etc. to remove oils, reduce particle count and extract undesirable ion contaminants. The laundering process should not be overly aggressive, as the particle attracting finish may be removed. Depending on the equipment employed, it may be necessary to adjust the agitation, volume and duration of rinsing and the speed and duration of extraction. Examples of suitable equipment and description of cleanroom laundries may be found in Austin, Dr. Philip R., "Encyclopedia of Cleanrooms, Bio-Cleanrooms and Aseptic Areas", Contamination Control Seminars, Michigan (1995).

[0031] In one embodiment, a surfactant residue is left on the wiper from the laundering process, and has been found to improve absorbency. The surfactant or surface-active agent may be selected from cationic, anionic, nonionic and

ampholytic surfactants. A comprehensive description of surfactants finding utility herein may be found in the Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, 4th edition, pp. 478-541 (1997). Preferably, the surfactant is selected from anionic and nonionic surfactants.

[0032] A surfactant residue in the range of 0.00001 wt.% (0.1 ppm) to 0.5 wt.%, preferably 0.00005 wt.% (0.5 ppm) to 0.1 wt.%, has been found to significantly improve the absorbance of the wiper, especially with regard to polar solvents, such as alcohols and water. High levels of residue on the wiper may act as a source of contamination and are to be avoided.

[0033] For many applications, such as wipers for use in automotive paint rooms, it is not necessary to wash the wipers in a cleanroom laundry prior to use. Accordingly, the wipers may be packaged in a sealed container, without having been laundered subsequent to having been coated.

[0034] The wipers may be presaturated with a desired solvent and sold in sealed dispensers, as is well known in the art. The terms saturated and presaturated are used in their broad sense, i.e. wet with solvent. Suitable solvents include water, organic solvents such as naphtha, and aqueous solutions of water miscible organic solvents, in particular solutions of alcohols, such as C₁-C₈ alcohols. Of particular interest are wipers presaturated with a solution of isopropanol and water, for example, aqueous solutions containing 1 to 99 wt.% isopropanol. The solvent composition may also contain a surfactant and/or other additives selected for their cleaning characteristics. By way of example, additional solvents and packages for pre-saturated wipers may be found in the following references: US 3,994,751; US 4,627,936; US 4,639,327; US 4,998,984; US 5,145,091; US 5,344,007 and JP 6(1994)-48475. Alternatively, the wipers may be sealed in air tight packages while dry.

Standards for Cleanroom Wipers

[0035] Among the standards which may be imposed on cleanroom wipers include performance criteria related to sorbency and contaminants. One standard for evaluating cleanroom wipers is the Institute of Environmental Sciences & Technology (IEST), Contamination Control Division Recommended Practice 004.2, which may be cited as IEST-RP-CC004.2, "Evaluating Wiping Materials Used in Cleanrooms and Other Controlled Environments".

[0036] Section 7 of Recommended Practice 004.2 sets forth some of the tests utilized for determining the capacity and rate sorption of cleanroom wipers. The capacity tests is performed by saturating a known area of wiper with a selected liquid and then calculating the volume sorbed per unit mass and per unit area of wiper (IEST-RP-CC004.2 §7.1). The sorbency per unit mass is referred to as the "intrinsic sorbency" and is the volume of liquid in milliliters sorbed per unit of mass of wiper in grams. The "extrinsic sorbency" is the volume of liquid in milliliters sorbed per unit area of wiper in square meters.

[0037] The rate of sorption of a cleanroom wiper is measured by allowing a drop of water to fall from a fixed height onto the surface of a wiper. The time required for the disappearance of specular reflection from the drop is measured and recorded as the sorption rate (IEST-RP-CC004.2 §7.2).

[0038] The primary test for contamination associated with cleanroom wipers are those measuring particles, unspecified extractable matter, and individual ionic constituents. The number of particles released during wetting and mechanical stress can be measured in the Biaxial Shake Test (IEST-RP-CC004.2 §5.2). Briefly, the wipers are placed in a jar of water and shaken. Aliquots are removed from the shaker and the number of particles is counted, typically those in the size range of 0.1 microns and larger are specified. The number of particles greater than a given particle size are reported in millions per square meter of fabric.

[0039] The amount of extractable contamination associated with a cleanroom wiper is determined by extracting the wiper with a solvent, such as water, isopropyl alcohol or acetone, evaporating the solvent and weighing the non-volatile residue (IEST-RP-CC004.2 §6.1). The quantity of extracted matter may be reported as mass extracted per mass of wiper or mass extracted per unit area of wiper.

[0040] The organic and inorganic non-volatile residue may be further analyzed, when it is desirable to know how much of a particular species is present. Typically, the non-volatile residue is tested for various inorganic, anionic or cationic constituents, for example Al, Ca, Cl, F, Li, Mg, K, Na and Zn (IEST-RP-CC004.2 §6.2).

[0041] The invention may be further understood by reference to the following examples.

Example 1: Wipers Coated With Hydroxypropylcellulose

[0042] Wipers measuring 9" x 9" and weighing about 4 oz/yd², were knitted from continuous filament polyester yarns (70 denier/34 filament and 70 denier/100 filament yarns in a 3:1 ratio, respectively). The wipers were wet in a 0.1% aqueous solution of hydroxypropylcellulose (average m.w. ~ 370,000), with the excess squeezed out by hand, to achieve an add-on of 0.2 wt.% polymer. The wipers were dried in a forced air oven at 350 degrees F for 6 minutes. Coated wipers were tested for particle attraction to various particles using the particle attraction test described above, and compared to uncoated wipers. The results are shown in the table below.

Particle	Particle Size (μm)	Uncoated Pickup (mg)	Coated Pickup (mg)
Carbon Black	3	13	33
Aluminum Oxide	0.3	8	19
Manganese Oxide	0.4	10	17
Titanium Dioxide	1.3	4	14
Zinc Oxide	2.2	8	25
Aluminum	2.7	8	18
Graphite	3.4	23	32
Iron	1.1	9	26
Ferric Oxide	3.1	6	18
Zinc	1.2	17	22
Ferrite	1.3	8	13
Silicon Dioxide	1.8	12	28

Example 2: Wipers Coated in Washer With Hydroxypropylcellulose

[0043] Three-Hundred wipers (9" x 9"), made from the fabric described in Example 1, were wet with 0.6% aqueous solution of hydroxypropylcellulose (average m.w. ~ 110,000), with the excess squeezed out by hand, to achieve an add-on of 1.2 wt.% polymer. The wipers were dried in a conventional laundry dryer for 40 minutes. Some of the wipers were tested for attraction to carbon black particles and found on average to pick up 24 mg. Other wipers were washed in a pilot scale (35 b. washer) cleanroom laundry using a standard procedure, tested for particle release using the Biaxial Shake Test, and found to have 13 million particles/sq. m. greater than 0.5 microns.

Example 3: Wipers Jet Coated With Hydroxypropylcellulose

[0044] Ten wipers (9" x 9"), made from the fabric described in Example 1, were placed in a Werner Mathis CH-8155 laboratory jet in a 0.1% aqueous solution of hydroxypropylcellulose (mol. wt. ~400,000) and jetted at 70 degrees C for 20 minutes, to achieve an add-on of 0.2 wt.% polymer. The wipers were dried in a forced air oven at 350 degrees F for 6 minutes, then tested for particle attraction to carbon black, picking up 30 mg.

Examples 4-13: Wipers Hand Coated With Other Polymers

[0045] Wipers, made from the fabric described in Example 1, were wet in an aqueous solution of the polymer (solids noted in table), with the excess squeezed out by hand, to achieve an add-on of about 0.2 wt.% polymer. The wipers were dried in a forced air oven at 350 degrees F for 6 minutes. Coated wipers were tested for particle attraction to carbon black using the particle attraction test described above, and compared to uncoated wipers. The results are shown in the table below.

Polymer	Carbon Black Pickup (mg)
None (comparative)	10
Sodium carboxymethylcellulose (0.1%)	26
poly(carboxylic acid) (0.1%)	27
Hydroxypropylcellulose (0.1%)	21
poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (0.05%)	26
Chitosan (0.5%) + melamine formaldehyde resin (0.005%)	27
poly(acrylic acid) (0.1%)	26
Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (0.1%)	28
Methylcellulose (0.1%)	29
Isopropyl amine/methacrylic acid copolymer (0.1%)	36

Examples 14-15

[0046] The following example demonstrates the improvement in absorbency realized when a surfactant residue is

left on the wiper.

[0047] A fabric as described in Example 1 was pad coated with a 2.4% poly(vinyl alcohol) aqueous solution, vacuumed over a vacuum slot and dried in a tenter frame oven at 250°F to achieve an add-on of 2.1 wt.% polymer. The fabric was laser cut into 9" x 9" wipers and laundered in a conventional cleanroom washer and dryer.

[0048] The rate of sorption of the wipers was measured according to IEST-PP-CC004.2 §7.2 (the time required for the disappearance of specular reflection from a drop of water on the surface of the wiper), and found to be 7 seconds.

[0049] The wipers were placed back in the cleanroom washer and run through an abbreviated wash cycle in which a commercial detergent, comprised of nonylphenol ethoxylate, was added in the penultimate rinse cycle. The wipers were dried in a cleanroom dryer to achieve an add-on of about 1 ppm surfactant, in addition to the previously applied polymer coating. The rate of sorption was tested as above and found to be 0.2 seconds.

[0050] The foregoing examples demonstrate the efficacy of the wiper having a particle attracting finish in absorbing and retaining particulates, especially those in the target range of 0.5 to 20 microns. The wipers attract particulate in both a wet and dry environment, especially in an aqueous environment, such as might be encountered when using a wiper saturated with a solvent. The wipers are not tacky, and the polymer coating does not leave a residue when the wiper is used to clean a surface, wet or dry.

[0051] Additionally, by following the teachings of the present invention, it is possible to manufacture wipers which not only have superior cleaning characteristics, but also meet the requirements for Class 1, Class 10, Class 100, Class 1,000, Class 10,000 and Class 100,000 cleanrooms as defined in Federal Standard 209 E. In particular, the wipers meet one or more of the following objectives: to reduce particulate contamination of particles greater than 0.5 microns to a level of less than 75 million/meters², preferably less than 30 million/meters², as measured by the Biaxial Shake Test (IEST-PP-CC004.2 §5.2); to reduce particle contamination of particles greater than 5 microns to a level of less than 1 million/m², preferably less than 300,000/m², most preferably less than 150,000/m², as measured by the Biaxial Shake Test (IEST-PP-CC004.2 §5.2); to reduce non-volatile residues with water extraction to less than .005 grams/ meters², and even less than .003 grams/meters² as measured by short term extraction (IEST-PP-CC004.2 §6.1.2); and to achieve absorbance capacities of 3.5 milliliters/meters² or greater, and even 4.0 milliliters/meters² or greater (IEST-PP-CC004.2 §7.1).

[0052] A further advantage of the wipers of the present invention is that the particle attracting polymer is believed to reduce particle release from the wiper, such as low molecular weight polyester, which migrates to the surface of polyester fiber. Without being bound to a particular theory, it is believed that the particle attracting polymer may work as a barrier to trap particulate contaminants from being released by the wiper.

[0053] The cleanroom wipers find utility in virtually any environment where a low contaminate, high absorbance wiping cloth is desired, such as in semiconductor, optical, food packaging and pharmaceutical cleanrooms, and in preparation of surfaces for painting or other coating.

[0054] There are, of course, many alternate embodiments and modifications of the invention, which are intended to be included within the scope of the following claims.

Claims

1. An article comprising a textile fabric and a particle attracting polymer coated on the fabric, the article having a particle attraction coefficient of 50% or greater for carbon black, particles, and a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 75 million particles per square meter or less as measured by Biaxial Shake Test according to IES-PP-CC004.2, §5.2.
2. The article of claim 1 having 0.01 to 6 weight of polymer relative to the weight of the fabric.
3. The article of claim 1 or 2 wherein the fabric is woven or knitted, polyester fiber and has a weight of from 2 to 9 ounces per square yard (67.8-305.2 5/m²).
4. The article of any of claims 1 to 3 having a particle attraction coefficient of 100% or greater for carbon black.
5. The article of any of claims 1 to 4 wherein the polymer has a number average molecular weight of 25,000 to 1,000,000 and the polymer has a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups.
6. The article of any of claims 1 to 5 wherein the article is saturated with a solvent and packaged in a sealed container.
7. The article of any of claims 1 to 6 having a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 30 million particles

per square meter or less and an extrinsic sorbency of 3.5 milliliters/meter squared or greater.

8. The article according to claim 1 wherein the polymer is a water-soluble polymer selected from
 - (i) polysaccharides having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups; and
 - (ii) polymers formed by vinyl polymerization, having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl, carboxy, amino and alkylamino groups.
9. The article of claim 8 wherein the polymer has a number average molecular weight of 25,000 to 1,000,000.
10. The article of claim 9 having 0.02 to 3 weight% of polymer relative to the weight of the fabric.
11. The article of claim 9 wherein the polymer is selected from cellulose ethers, poly(vinyl alcohol) and vinyl alcohol copolymers.
12. The article of claim 11 wherein the article is saturated with a solvent and packaged in a sealed container.
13. The article of claim 11 having a particle attraction coefficient of 100% or greater for carbon black and a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 30 million particles per square meter or less.
14. A wiper comprising a textile fabric and a particle attracting polymer coated on the fabric, wherein the polymer is selected from (i) cellulose ethers; (ii) inorganic cellulose esters; (iii) chitosan; (iv) guar gums and hydroxy, hydroxy-alkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof; (v) starch and hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof; (vi) poly(vinyl alcohol) and vinyl alcohol copolymers; (vii) poly(vinyl pyrrolidone); (viii) poly(hydroxyalkyl acrylate) and poly(hydroxyalkyl methacrylate) and (ix) poly(alkyl acrylamide) and poly(alkyl acrylamide) copolymers;
wherein the article has a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 75 million particles per square meter or less as measured by Biaxial Shake Test IES-RP-CC004.2.
15. The wiper of claim 14 having 0.05 to 1 weight% of polymer relative to the weight of the fabric.
16. The wiper of claim 14 having a particle attraction ratio of 100% or greater for carbon black.
17. The wiper of claim 16 wherein the fabric is woven or knitted, polyester fiber and has a weight of from 2 to 9 ounces per square yard (67.8-305.2 5/m²).
18. The wiper of claim 14, wherein the polymer is selected from cellulose ethers, poly(vinyl alcohol) and vinyl alcohol copolymers.
19. The wiper of claim 18 having from 0.02 to 3 weight% of polymer relative to the weight of the fabric and a particle attraction coefficient of 50% or greater for carbon black.
20. The wiper of claim 19 having a particle count of particles greater than 0.5 microns of 30 million particles per square meter or less and an extrinsic sorbency of 3.5 milliliters/meter squared or greater.
21. The wiper of claim 14 wherein the article further comprises a surfactant residue in the range of 0.1 ppm to 0.5 wt. %.
22. The article of claim 1 wherein the article further comprises a surfactant residue selected from anionic and nonionic surfactants, in the range of 0.5 ppm to 0.1 wt. %.
23. The article of claim 8, wherein the article further comprises a surfactant residue in the range of 0.1 ppm to 0.5 wt. %.
24. An article comprising a textile fabric and a particle attracting polymer coated on the fabric, the article having a particle attraction coefficient of 50% or greater for carbon black, particles, and a particle count of particles greater than 5 microns of 1 million particles per square meter or less as measured by Biaxial Shake Test IES-RP-CC004.2, and the article is packaged in a sealed container and has not been laundered subsequent to the particle attracting polymer having been coated on the fabric.

25. The article of claim 24 having a particle attraction coefficient of 100% or greater for carbon black.
26. The article of claim 24 wherein the polymer has a number average molecular weight of 25,000 to 1,000,000 and the polymer has a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups.
27. The article of claim 24 wherein the article has a particle count of particles greater than 5 microns of 300,000 particles per square meter or less.
28. The article of claim 27 wherein the particle attracting polymer is water-soluble and is selected from:
 - (i) polysaccharides having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy groups; and
 - (ii) polymers formed by vinyl polymerization, having a plurality of pendent groups selected from hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl, carboxy, amino and alkylamino groups.
29. The article of claim 24 wherein the article has a particle count of particles greater than 5 microns of 150,000 particles per square meter or less.
30. The article of claim 29 wherein the particle attracting polymer is selected from (i) cellulose ethers; (ii) inorganic cellulose esters; (iii) chitosan; (iv) guar gums and hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof; (v) starch and hydroxy, hydroxyalkyl and carboxy substituted derivatives thereof; (vi) poly(vinyl alcohol) and vinyl alcohol copolymers; (vii) poly(vinyl pyrrolidone); (viii) poly(hydroxyalkyl acrylate) and poly(hydroxyalkyl methacrylate) and (ix) poly(alkyl acrylamide) and poly(alkyl acrylamide) copolymers.
31. The article of claim 24 wherein the article is saturated with a solvent and packaged in a sealed container.



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) **EP 0 995 393 A3**

(12) **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(88) Date of publication A3:
07.06.2000 Bulletin 2000/23

(51) Int Cl.7: **A47L 13/16**, D06M 23/00,
D06M 15/263, D06M 15/333

(43) Date of publication A2:
26.04.2000 Bulletin 2000/17

(21) Application number: **99121138.4**

(22) Date of filing: **22.10.1999**

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventors:
• Morin, Brian G.
Greer, SC 29651 (US)
• McBride, Daniel T.
Chesnee, SC 29323 (US)
• Chambers, Loren W.
LaGrange, GA 30240 (US)

(30) Priority: **23.10.1998 US 178396**

(71) Applicant: **Milliken & Company**
Spartanburg, County of Spartanburg,
South Carolina (US)

(74) Representative: **HOFFMANN - EITLE**
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte
Arabellastrasse 4
81925 München (DE)

(54) **Textile fabric with particle attracting finish**

(57) A textile fabric wiper is provided having a polymer coating applied to enhance the attraction of the wiper

to particulate contaminants, especially particles in the range of 0.5 to 20 microns.

EP 0 995 393 A3

European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 99 12 1138

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
Y	NO 95 04851 A (E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND CO) 16 February 1995 (1995-02-16) * the whole document *	1, 5, 8	A47L13/16 D06M23/00 D06M15/263 D06M15/333
Y	MARES T ET AL: "Soil-release and textile properties of cotton/polyesterpoly(methacrylic acid) copolymer fabrics" TEXTILE RESEARCH JOURNAL, vol. 46, August 1976 (1976-08), pages 563-567, XP002134562 * page 563, abstract *	1, 5, 8	
A	PEPPERMAN A B ET AL: "Soil removal properties of a low viscosity carboxymethylcellulose in crosslinking formulations" AMERICAN DYESTUFF REPORTER, April 1971 (1971-04), pages 52-61, XP002134563 * page 52, abstract *	1, 11	
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)
A	US 5 069 735 A (REYNOLDS JAMES R) 3 December 1991 (1991-12-03) * claims *	1, 12	D06M B08B A47L
A	EP 0 060 076 A (ROGUT ALAN EDMUND ; ROGUT RAOUL JON (ZA)) 15 September 1982 (1982-09-15) * claims *	1	
A	US 5 271 995 A (PALEY EDWARD ET AL) 21 December 1993 (1993-12-21) * claims *	1	
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search THE HAGUE		Date of completion of the search 28 March 2000	Examiner Hellemans, W
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosures P : intermediate document T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document			

[EPU-Classe 1993-01-01 (P.10.1)]

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 99 12 1138

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on the European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

28-03-2000

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 9504851 A	16-02-1995	US 5320900 A	14-06-1994
		CA 2169268 A	11-02-1995
		DE 69402429 D	07-05-1997
		DE 69402429 T	18-09-1997
		EP 0713545 A	29-05-1996
		ES 2099627 T	16-05-1997
		JP 9502771 T	18-03-1997
US 5069735 A	03-12-1991	NONE	
EP 0060076 A	15-09-1982	ZA 8203799 A	30-03-1983
US 5271995 A	21-12-1993	NONE	

EP 0 995 393 A3

For more details about this annex: see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82